

Session 12

Do Entrance and Exit Counseling Make a Difference in Title IV Default Rates

Shari Howell Randy Deike



Do Entrance and Exit Counseling Make a Difference in TIV Loan Default Rates?

This session provides an overview of research conducted at Penn State University to study the effects of entrance and exit counseling on loan default behaviors.



Evaluating Interventions

- Experimental Design
 - In order to attribute changes in some outcome to a particular intervention, an experimental design must be employed
 - Often, a change in outcome, such as decreasing default rates, is attributed to some particular intervention without controlling for competing explanations for that change
 - PSU example of what not to do

 loans and scholarships



Randomization

- Randomization is important if we are interested in making causal statements
- Randomization "standardizes" groups, and in theory, eliminates competing explanations for the study outcome

Treatment and Control Groups

- Treatment groups involve some intervention, such as traditional entrance/exit counseling
- Control group receives no treatment



Penn State's Entrance/Exit Counseling Study

- Randomly selected and assigned 6,000 of 9,000 newly admitted undergraduate students awarded TIV loans to 1 of 3 treatment groups and a control group
- Each group (cohort) was compared to the overall population on factors such as loan type, loan amount, income, ethnicity, residency, etc., to determine cohort representativeness



Treatments

- Cohort 1
 - The regulatory entrance and exit counseling requirements were followed



Cohort 2

- Alternate exit counseling for Federal Stafford Loan borrowers who fall from degree status to non-degree status, are in good academic standing but do not return for the next semester, and who withdraw during a semester
- These students receive a letter with lender, loan consolidation, and forbearance information, and an estimate of their monthly payment amount



Cohort 3

- Alternate exit counseling for Federal Perkins Loan borrowers who leave Penn State for any reason
- Students are provided with the federally required information with one exception, copies of promissory notes are not included, and students are called to establish payment arrangements



- Cohort 4
 - Control Group...no treatment



Methodology

- Students who did not accept their offer of admission were eliminated from the original cohorts
- Members of each cohort with TIV loans have been tracked yearly since 1996 to determine loan default rates



Results

 At no point in the study have the differences in default rates for each cohort been statistically significant



- The following table shows results from the sevenyear study for the 2002-2003 academic year
- The original sample reduction comes from eliminating students who did not accept their offer of admission

	Loan Entrance / Exit Cou	unseling Study: Cohort	Reporting at End of Y	Year Seven: 1996-97	7 through 2	2002-03		
		FFEL and	d Perkins Borrowers					
Cohort Gr	roup Original Sample	Students with TIV Loans	Federal Entrance Counseling -FFEL	Federal Exit Counseling	Student in Default		fault	
					Grad	Not Grad	Total	
Cohort	1 1273	1027	920	903	2	47	49	
Cohort	2 1239	1002	0	723	5	40	45	
Cohort	3 1257	1032	0	111	7	49	56	
Cohort	4 1276	1035	0	0	2	43	45	
Co	Cohort 1: Regulatory entrance counseling and exit counseling.							
Co	Cohort 2: No entrance counseling with modified exit counseling - letters with detailed information are sent at end of semeste							
Co	Cohort 3: No entrance counseling with modified exit counseling - Perkins borrowers receive modified exit counseling materia							

Cohort 4: Control group - no entrance or exit counseling



 As can be seen, the number of students with TIV loans is approximately 81% for each cohort

Loan	Entrance / Exit Cour	seling Study: Cohort	Reporting at End of Y	ear Seven: 1996-97	through 2	2002-03			
		FFEL and	d Perkins Borrowers						
Cohort Group	Original Sample	Students with TIV Loans	Federal Entrance Counseling -FFEL	Federal Exit Counseling	Student in Default				
					Grad	Not Grad	Total		
Cohort 1	1273	1027	920	903	2	47	49		
Cohort 2	1239	1002	0	723	5	40	45		
Cohort 3	1257	1032	0	111	7	49	56		
Cohort 4	1276	1035	0	0	2	43	45		
Cohort 1	Cohort 1: Regulatory entrance counseling and exit counseling.								
Cohort 2: No entrance counseling with modified exit counseling - letters with detailed information are sent at end of semeste									
Cohort 3: No entrance counseling with modified exit counseling - Perkins borrowers receive modified exit counseling materials									
Cohort 4	Cohort 4: Control group - no entrance or exit counseling								



- Students in default who are PSU graduates represent from 0.2% to 0.7% of their cohort
- When considering only PSU graduates in each cohort, default rates range from 0.3% to 1.0%
- These differences among cohorts are not statistically significant

	Loan	Entrance / Exit Coun	seling Study: Cohort	Reporting at End of Y	ear Seven: 1996-97	7 through 2	2002-03	
			FFEL and	d Perkins Borrowers				
Cohort Group		Original Sample	Students with TIV Loans	Federal Entrance Counseling -FFEL	Federal Exit Counseling	Student in Default		fault
						Grad	Not Grad	Total
Coho	rt 1	1273	1027	920	903	2	47	49
Coho	rt 2	1239	1002	0	723	5	40	45
Coho	rt 3	1257	1032	0	111	7	49	50
Coho	rt 4	1276	1035	0	0	2	43	4:
	Cohort 1:	Regulatory entrance	counseling and exit c	ounseling.				
(Cohort 2:	No entrance counsel	ing with modified exit	counseling - letters w	ith detailed informa	tion are se	nt at end of	semester
(Cohort 3:	No entrance counsel	ing with modified exit	counseling - Perkins l	borrowers receive r	nodified ex	it counselir	ng materia
	Cohort 4:	Control group - no e	ntrance or exit couns	eling				



- Students in default who have not graduated from PSU represent 4.0% to 4.6% of their cohort
- These differences among cohorts are not statistically significant

Loan	Entrance / Exit Coun	seling Study: Cohort	Reporting at End of Y	Year Seven: 1996-9 7	7 through 2	2002-03		
		FFEL and	l Perkins Borrowers					
Cohort Group	Original Sample	Students with TIV Loans	Federal Entrance Counseling -FFEL	Federal Exit Counseling	Student in Default			
					Grad	Not Grad	Total	
Cohort 1	1273	1027	920	903	2	47	49	
Cohort 2	1239	1002	0	723	5	40	45	
Cohort 3	1257	1032	0	111	7	49	56	
Cohort 4	1276	1035	0	0	2	43	45	
Cohort 1:	Regulatory entrance	counseling and exit c	ounseling.					
Cohort 2: No entrance counseling with modified exit counseling - letters with detailed information are sent at end of semeste								
Cohort 3	No entrance counsel	ing with modified exit	counseling - Perkins	borrowers receive r	modified ex	it counseli	ng materials	
Cohort 4: Control group - no entrance or exit counseling								



- The overall default rates of the four cohorts range from 4.3% to 5.4%
- These differences among cohorts are not statistically significant

Loan Entrance / Exit Counseling Study: Cohort Reporting at End of Year Seven: 1996-97 through 2002-03										
	FFEL and Perkins Borrowers									
Cohort Group	Original Sample	Students with TIV Loans	Federal Entrance Counseling -FFEL	Federal Exit Counseling	Student in Default		fault			
					Grad	Not Grad	Total			
Cohort 1	1273	1027	920	903	2	47	49			
Cohort 2	1239	1002	0	723	5	40	45			
Cohort 3	1257	1032	0	111	7	49	56			
Cohort 4	1276	1035	0	0	2	43	45			
Cohort 1	Cohort 1: Regulatory entrance counseling and exit counseling.									
Cohort 2: No entrance counseling with modified exit counseling - letters with detailed information are sent at end of semester										
Cohort 3: No entrance counseling with modified exit counseling - Perkins borrowers receive modified exit counseling material										
Cohort 4	Cohort 4: Control group - no entrance or exit counseling									



Discussion

- There are no significant differences in default rates among the treatment groups or the control group, suggesting that entrance and exit counseling have no effect on loan default
- These results suggest that additional research should be conducted to identify more effective strategies for lowering default rates



What's next?

- Penn State is conducting predictive modeling studies in an attempt to identify, at the student level, those borrowers most likely to default
- This work is being conducted by building longitudinal data sets with institutional, NSC, and NSLDS data and employing logistic regression and discrete-time survival analysis



Technical Assistance

We appreciate your feedback and comments. We can be reached at:

Shari Howell slh2@psu.edu

Randy Deike rcd7@psu.edu